

ORIGINAL



CITY OF DUBLIN

6351 Shier Rings Road  
Dublin, OH 43017-1243  
Phone/TDD: 614/761-6570  
Fax: 614/761-6512

Chairman William Kenard  
Federal Communications Commission  
445, 12<sup>th</sup> Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

*Ex Parte Filing* in cases WT 99-217; CC 96-98

August 5, 1999

Dear Chairman Kennard:

Please do not adopt the rule proposed in these cases allowing any phone company to serve any tenant of a building and to place their antenna on the building roof.

In some states 70 or more new phone companies have been certificated to provide service. Add in the wireless phone companies and under your rule you may have 100 companies allowed to place wires in a building, and their antennas on the roof – all without the landlord's permission.

The FCC lacks the authority to do this. It would violate basic property rights – a landlord, city or condominium has the right to control who comes on their property. Congress did not give the FCC the authority to condemn space for 100 phone companies in every building in the country.

The FCC cannot preempt state and local building codes, zoning ordinances, environmental legislation and other laws affecting antennas on roofs. Zoning and building codes are purely matters of state and local jurisdiction which under Federalism and the Tenth Amendment you may not preempt.

For example, building codes are imposed in part for engineering related safety reasons. These vary by region, weather patterns and building type – such as the likelihood of earthquakes, hurricanes and maximum amount of snow and ice. If antennas are too heavy or too high, roofs collapse. If they are not properly secured, they will blow over and damage the building, its inhabitants or passers-by.

Similarly, zoning laws are matters of local concern which protect and promote the public health, safety and welfare, ensure compatibility of uses, preserve property values and the character of our communities. We may restrict the numbers, types, locations, size and aesthetics of antennas on buildings (such as requiring them to be properly screened) to achieve these legitimate goals, yet see that needed services are provided. This requires us to balance competing concerns – which we do every day, with success. Everyone wants garbage picked up, no one wants a transfer station. Everyone wants electricity, no one wants a substation near their home.

The application of zoning principles is highly dependent on local conditions. These vary greatly state by state, from municipality to municipality and within municipalities. We have successfully applied these principles and balanced competing concerns for eighty years. Zoning has not unnecessarily impeded technology or the development of our economy, nor will it here. There is simply no basis to conclude that for a brand-new technology (wireless fixed telephones) with a minuscule track record that there are problems on such a massive

No. of Copies rec'd 2  
List ABCDE

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

scale with the 38,000 units of local government in the U.S. to warrant Federal action.

On rights of way, local management of them is essential to protect the public, health, safety and welfare. Congress has specifically prohibited you from acting in this area.

We believe the telephone providers' complaints about rights-of-way management and fees are overblown, as shown by the small number of court cases on this – only about a dozen nationwide in the three years since the 1996 Act. With 38,000 municipalities nationwide and thousands of phone companies this number of cases shows that the system is working, not that it is broken.

Finally, we are surprised that you suggest that the combined Federal, state and local tax burden on new phone companies is too high. The FCC has no authority to affect state or local taxes any more than it can affect Federal taxes.

For these reasons please reject the proposed rule and take no action on rights of way and taxes.

Sincerely,



Dana L. McDaniel  
Director of Service

DLM/tb

- c: Commission Harold Furchtgott-Roth, Federal Communications Commission  
Commissioner Michael Powell, Federal Communications Commission  
Commissioner Gloria Tristani, Federal Communications Commission  
Commissioner Susan Ness, Federal Communications Commission  
**Ms. Magalie Roman Salas, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission** (2 copies)  
Mr. Jeffrey Steinberg, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, FCC  
Mr. Joel Tauenblatt, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, FCC  
Internal Transcription Services  
Mr. Kevin McCarty, Assistant Executive Director, U.S. Conference of Mayors  
Ms. Barrie Tabin, Legislative Counsel, National League of Cities  
Mr. Robert Fogel, Associate Legislative Director, National Association of Counties  
Mr. Lee Ruck, Executive Director, NATOA  
Mr. Thomas Frost, Vice President, BOCA International  
Mr. George Voinovich, U.S. Senator (Ohio)  
Mr. Mike Dewine, U.S. Senator (Ohio)  
Mr. John Kasich, U.S. Representative, 12<sup>th</sup> Congressional District  
Ms. Deborah Pryce, U.S. Representative, 15<sup>th</sup> Congressional District  
Mr. David Hobson, U.S. Representative, 7<sup>th</sup> Congressional District  
Mr. Timothy C. Hansley, City Manager, City of Dublin, Ohio  
Mr. Stephen Smith, Director of Law, City of Dublin, Ohio